

# University of Patanjali

Haridwar (Uttarakhand) 249405

## Action Taken

As per the feedback received from Students, Teachers, Employers and Alumni. The feedbacks were collected, analysed and action taken accordingly.

**A. The feedback received from students with the score below the 4.8 it was decided to improve the following Points in curriculum:**

1. Usefulness of tests and assignments.
2. Relevance of additional source material available in the Library.
3. Learning value (in term of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives.)

**B. The feedback received from Teachers on varius curriculum. Where the score was below the 4.6, It was instructed to improve the following Points:**

1. Course content covers the issues related to industry.
2. The course content fulfils the local and regional requirements.
3. Sufficient numbers of labs and workshops is available in the curriculum.
4. Proposition of theoretical and practical aspect in syllabus is appropriate.
5. Project work incorporated is up to mark.

**C. The feedback received from Employers on varius curriculum. Where the score was below the 4, It was instructed to improve the following Points:**

1. The syllabus contains emerging and technical updates.
2. The content of the syllabus enables to achieve relevant subject outcome.
3. Proposition of theoretical and practical aspect in syllabus is appropriate.

**D. The feedback received from Alumni on varius curriculum. Where the score was below the 4.4, It was instructed to improve the following Points:**

1. The syllabus is well designed to enhance skill development
2. The course content fulfils the local and regional requirements
3. Appropriate practical aspect is being covered in the syllabus
4. The course content is user friendly



(Prof. V. K. Katiyar)

Dean, Research & Academics



(Prof. Mahavir Agarwal)

Pro Vice Chancellor

# 1.4 Feedback system

1.4.1 Structured feedback for design and review of syllabus received from 1) students, 2) teachers, 3) employers, 4) Alumni

Options:

- A. All 4 of the above
- B. Any 3 of the above
- C. Any 2 of the above
- D. Any 1 of the above
- E. None of the above

Response: A. All the four above

File Description	Document
URL for stakeholder feedback report	<b>Enclosure 1.4.1a</b> <a href="https://universityofpatanjali.com/feedback/Alumni-Feedback-Analysis.PDF">https://universityofpatanjali.com/feedback/Alumni-Feedback-Analysis.PDF</a> <a href="https://universityofpatanjali.com/feedback/Employer-Feedback-Analysis.PDF">https://universityofpatanjali.com/feedback/Employer-Feedback-Analysis.PDF</a> <a href="https://universityofpatanjali.com/feedback/Student-Feedback-Analysis.PDF">https://universityofpatanjali.com/feedback/Student-Feedback-Analysis.PDF</a> <a href="https://universityofpatanjali.com/feedback/Teacher-Feedback-Analysis.PDF">https://universityofpatanjali.com/feedback/Teacher-Feedback-Analysis.PDF</a>
Institutional data in prescribed format	Enclosure 1.4.1b
Any additional information	-
Action taken report of the university on feedback report as stated in the minutes of the governing council, syndicate, board of management (upload)	Enclosure 1.4.1c

1.4.2 Feedback processes of the institution may be classified as follows:

- A. Feedback collected, analysed and action taken and feedback available on website
- B. Feedback collected, analysed and action has been taken
- C. Feedback collected and analysed
- D. Feedback collected
- E. Feedback not collected

Response: C. Feedback collected and analysed.

## Students feedback on curriculum

### ANALYSIS

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	4	3	2	1
Sl. No	Questions			Score
1	Usefulness of tests and assignments.			4.7
2	Applicability/ relevance to real life situations .			4.8
3	Learning value (in term of knowledge , concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives.)			4.7
4	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.			4.9
5	Relevance of additional source material available in the Library.			4.6
6	Online Educational resources are available and accessible.			4.9

Remarks

Very good

W. Rath  
Signature

(A. L. S. Rath)

V. K. S.

# FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ON CURRICULUM

## Teachers Feedback Analysis

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	4	3	2	1

Sr. No.	Question	Score
1	The syllabus contains emerging and technical updates	4.86
2	Course content covers the issues related to industry	4.57
3	The syllabus is well designed to enhance skill development	5.00
4	Course content emphasizes more on technical knowledge	4.43
5	Outcomes of the course leads to employability	4.86
6	The course content fulfils the local and regional requirements	4.57
7	The books prescribed as reference are relevant to training needs	4.71
8	Appropriate practical aspect is being covered in the syllabus	4.86
9	The content of the syllabus enable to achieve relevant subject outcome	4.71
10	The course content is user friendly	4.86
11	Sufficient numbers of labs and workshops is available in the curriculum	4.57
12	Proposition of theoretical and practical aspect in syllabus is appropriate	4.57
13	Project work incorporated is up to mark	4.57

### Remarks:

1. Feedback on curriculum taken from faculty members are very good on most of the points and on 3<sup>rd</sup> point it is excellent.

*m. y. g.*  
20/08/21

*VK Katiyar*

(Prof. V. K. Katiyar)  
Dean, Research & Academics

## Employer's feedback on curriculum

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	4	3	2	1

SL No	Question	Score
1	The syllabus contains emerging and technical updates	3
2	Course content covers the issues related to industry	3
3	The syllabus is well designed to enhance skill development	4
4	Course content emphasizes more on technical knowledge	4
5	Outcomes of the course leads to employability	4
6	The course content fulfils the local and regional requirements	4
7	The books prescribed as reference are relevant to training needs	4
8	Appropriate practical aspect is being covered in the syllabus	4
9	The content of the syllabus enables to achieve relevant subject outcome	3
10	The course content is user friendly	4
11	Sufficient numbers of labs and workshops is available in the curriculum	4
12	Proposition of theoretical and practical aspect in syllabus is appropriate	3
13	Project work incorporated is up to mark	4

Remarks:

JNO

  
Signature

## Alumni feedback on curriculum

### ANALYSIS

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
5	4	3	2	1
Sl. No	Questions	Score Average		
1	The syllabus contains emerging and technical updates	4.14		
2	Course content covers the issues related to industry	4.00		
3	The syllabus is well designed to enhance skill development	4.21		
4	Course content emphasizes more on technical knowledge	4.43		
5	Outcomes of the course leads to employability	4.50		
6	The course content fulfils the local and regional requirements	4.36		
7	Appropriate practical aspect is being covered in the syllabus	3.90		
8	The course content is user friendly	4.36		
9	Proposition of theoretical and practical aspect in syllabus is appropriate	4.50		

Remark: very good

  
Signature

(Mr. L.S. Rath)

